



DAWN LAMONTAGNE
Purchasing Manager (A)

(519) 255-6272
TELEPHONE NUMBER

ADDENDUM NO. 1
RFP NO. 5-25
BRUMPTON PARK STORMWATER DRY POND – ENGINEERING CONSULTING
SERVICE

January 23, 2025

This addendum amends and forms part of the request for proposal documents. The proponent shall insert the addendum behind the cover page of the proposal documents.

PLEASE NOTE: The closing date has been extended to **ELEVEN-THIRTY FIFTY-NINE (11:30:59) A.M. (E.S.T.), WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19TH, 2025.**

The question deadline has been extended to Wednesday, February 5th, 2025.

REVISION TO SPECIFICATIONS:

Section 1.6

Submission of the following reports will be required of the Proponent with each progress payment certificate until project completion, in order for the project to comply with conditions of the DMAF funding:

- a. Community Employment Benefits Table**
- b. Equipment Usage Table**

Section 6.2 f)

- a) The Proponent shall complete a dynamic dual drainage model analysis and design for the Brumpton Park Stormwater Dry Pond. The Proponent may complete the model assessment using an acceptable hydrologic and hydraulic model including but not limited to InfoWorks ICM, PCSWMM, or EPA-SWMM. The Proponent's proposed modelling software is to be approved by the City. The City will provide available and select modelling output from the SMP InfoWorks ICM model as related to this project; these files may include time series for water levels and flow to be used as boundary conditions.

The modelling analysis shall take into consideration the future improvements proposed to the existing storm sewer on Cedarview Street between Watson Avenue and Brumpton Park.

Further the following shall be considered as part of the analysis:

- At a minimum, the model analysis shall consider the trunk storm sewer along Cedarview Street from Lauzon Road to Riverdale Avenue, and lateral local storm sewers at least one block north and south of the trunk sewer.
- Inclusion of any significant overflows within 500 m of the trunk sewer, as per City's direction.
- At a minimum three model scenarios shall be included: existing conditions; full buildout of the Brumpton Park storage with existing sewers; and full buildout of the Brumpton Park

storage with the future improvements proposed to the existing storm sewer on Cedarview Street between Watson Avenue and Brumpton Park.

- **The Proponent shall also complete a functional design of the proposed storm sewer on Cedarview Street between Watson Avenue and Brumpton Park as outlined in the SMP. The functional design shall include a review of potential conflicts with existing utilities and infrastructure, as well as pipe sizes and inverts.**

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS:

1. Section 1.6 a) Can the City confirm that the GHG Assessment is to only consider the proposed Brumpton Park Dry Pond and not the proposed local storm sewer improvements along Cedarview?

Please refer to the updated Section 1.6 provided with this Addendum.

2. Section 6.2.f) Will the City provide the successful proponent with the existing and proposed solution SMP InfoWorks ICM models to complete the dual drainage modelling component of the project? The current RFP currently states that only select modelling outputs as it relates to the project will be provided.

To support the project, select modelling files and/or outputs will be provided to the successful Proponent. If the Proponent elects to use InfoWorks ICM, then the City will, as available, provide suitable modelling files to support that work.

3. Section 6.2.h) Is the City aware of any existing utilities within Brumpton Park, specifically in the location of the proposed Dry Pond. Are park lighting upgrades proposed for Brumpton Park that should be considered in this project?

The City is not aware of any existing utilities in the location of the proposed Dry Pond. Park lighting upgrades are not proposed at this time.

4. Section 6.2.h) Can the City Parks department comment as to if the Dry Pond is proposed to serve as a dual-use feature, for stormwater storage and future recreation purposes (ie. soccer fields)?

The intention is to design the facility as usable green space that can provide additional community benefits when not functioning for stormwater management.

5. Section 6.2.m) Can the City confirm if a certified Arborist is required on the project?

A Certified Arborist is not required at this time.

6. Section 6.2.x) Can the City confirm the level of detail required for the review of the proposed Cedarview Storm Sewer from Watson to Brumpton Park? Will a detailed design level of review be required for the proposed storm sewers and roadway grading, including a review of potential conflicts with other services?

Please refer to the updated Section 6.2 f) provided with this Addendum. Roadway grading will not be required at this time.

7. Appendix "C" - Cost Proposal, 1.c). Can the City confirm that costs associated with the GHG and Community Employment Benefits Report(s) are to be incorporated in the All-Inclusive lump-sum price for detailed design under Part A i)?

Please refer to the updated Section 1.6 provided with this Addendum.

8. Is a record of site conditions required?

Prior to proceeding with the detailed design, and in conjunction with the Geotechnical and Excess Soil Investigations, the successful Proponent will be responsible for assessing whether a Record of Site Condition is necessary. Should it be determined that a Record of Site Condition is required, the successful Proponent must submit a scope change to the City for review and approval prior to proceeding with the work.

9. Will a hydro-geological investigation be required?

Prior to proceeding with the detailed design, and in conjunction with the Geotechnical and Excess Soil Investigations, the successful Proponent will be responsible for assessing whether a Hydro-Geological Investigation is necessary. Should it be determined that a Hydro-Geological Investigation is required, the successful Proponent must submit a scope change to the City for review and approval prior to proceeding with the work.

Except for the contents of this addendum, all other terms and conditions of this request for proposal remain the same.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

Yours truly,

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF WINDSOR



Dawn Lamontagne
Purchasing Manager (A)

DL/jm

**ADDENDUM NO. 1
RFP NO. 5-25
BRUMPTON PARK STORMWATER DRY POND – ENGINEERING CONSULTING
SERVICE**

January 23, 2025

I hereby acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 1 to the RFP No. 5-25 (4 pages).

The information contained therein is hereby noted and account of same will be taken in our proposal cost.

This information was received on the _____ day of _____, 20_____.

Signature_____

Name (Printed)_____

Company Name_____

***NOTE: You are required to acknowledge this addendum with your PROPOSAL submission.**